

De vast commissie van de Tweede Kamer Afz.: <Sender>.
voor justitie en veiligheid. <Address sender>.
Plein 2 <Place sender>.
2511 CR Den Haag.
Postbus 20018, 2500 EA.

Per e-mail, naar elk lid van de commissie.

T.a.v. elk van de commissieleden.

Geachte leden,

12 november 2021.

I write to you in the most popular international language and explain why.

The commission's response

The commission answers that my email and the information is treated and debated in a procedure meeting. But the only letters from the government were placed on the agenda for this meeting on 3 November 2021. So, another lie is sent to me like all earlier answers of the commission. The official letter is forgery.

The responses

One member, mr. Eerdmans, automated deleted my message without noticing it arrived. He represents, the same as mrs. Kaag the moral character and behaviour of our Dutch assumed people's representatives. Out of 34 members of this commission did 21 members' e-mail program return an automated response. The others did not respond at all. While the parliament members are surprised that average humans are made to express their anger by this humiliation; While these members refuge in their selfish world of self-pity and not return to doing the job well.

Empty excuses provoke combat

The members' e-mail program's automated response is the excuse that very many e-mails are daily received which make nearly impossible to answer or answer personally. This excuse is used since 2016 and almost sure since many years before 2016. This amount is not treated as the measure of how much is wrong in our country; again an empiric evidence of the maximal energy putted into not-knowing. Also is this excuse not treated as the measure that the work and the way of work of each member is a mess. Nevertheless are all members informed and is doing nothing a perjury against article 60 of the Dutch Constitution, which gives to each individual civil citizen the right of being represented by the "Staten Generaal". So, now what does each member do besides the action (of combat) that mrs. Kaag exhibited.

Correction

In the preceding letter I wrote for the union of judges and prosecutors the name "Raad voor de Rechtspraak" but this is wrong and the correct name is "Nederlandse Vereniging voor de Rechtspraak".

The request

I submitted the call to restore democracy in the judiciary by respect for the legal public scrutiny. Although it is our Right, as explained, are we individual civil citizens oppressed to beg for this. In despite of this crime is no reply received and neither is the respect publicly declared. To compare; When the parliament is not respected when it requests action via

the government then it may get upset and angry but the people may not when it is ignored by the parliament. This is one more empiric evidence of the selfishness of the people's representatives and of the existence of the latent discrimination line.

Unjust became big business

Now that it is beyond doubt that the parliament knows certainly about the crash of the justice system and the judge-system. In the Netherlands is injustice pure business; the injustice creates (more) work and (more) income and monopolistic power; first of all for the civil service organization inclusive the judiciary and hereafter for professions in the derivate work areas.

An empiric case is the "Toeslagenaffaire" in which a decision is accurate made; then accurate audited in the complaint process, then accurate controlled by the lawsuit, then accurate controlled by the appeal case, then accurate controlled by the higher appeal case, then accurate controlled for the conclusion of "Unpredicted Injustice", and after the decision of compensating all the accurate decision making starts exactly the same all over.

Discrimination

Without reason discriminates the parliament between the victims in the "Toeslagenaffaire" and me and all other victims by courts and tribunals or judges. This discrimination is a crime against the Human Rights. Plus (in the "Toeslagenaffaire" too): no judge is punished and no judgment is destroyed for ever; so no cleaning is done. Plus additionally is also still not ordered that the judiciary is solely competent for 1 judgment in all the equal cases which judgment complies with the requirements in the Manual of the public scrutiny.

Legality of all violence

Nearly all violence only exists by the absence of Human Rights. This violence exists due to the lack of serious listening in the precedings. When violence occurs then the departments of the civil service organization oppresses this with much more violence. This increment is a next phase of escalation (= radicalisation) that provokes a successive phase. This road does not return peace into society. Authorities explain that talking with violating persons is useless, but why is an assumed failure of talking (nearly always) due to others (like the violating persons). Certain is that domination is something outside the world of equality by Human Rights and for sure not the correct attitude.

Authority's testimony in public

In the recent process of forming a government at last took place, on 18 October 2021, a public confession. The two, by the complete parliament assigned, executors unveiled that a selection of the dupe individual civil citizens are invited to be listened to about "what can be done better and must be done different". This testifies explicitly that the parliament has not represented the whole people and failed in its duty to represent the individual civil citizen that it locks out. Until again the escalation phase of threatening starts and then the parliament member has sorrow only for itself.

Useless turning around and around creates work

Again the two executors refuge in the aim to make useless changes in the 'paper world' for improvement. This is done since the year 1848 and evidence over and over again that this does not solve anything. Because the real cause is the continuous decrease down to the unacceptable low moral character of members of the people's representatives. The average individual civil citizens know that on paper nothing needs to be changed because enough already exist.

A Right is not begging for charity

Even the begging is not a Right when begging for charity and this charity is not a Right either. Begging exists solely when dependency exists and dependency is always present by absence of the Human Rights. So, dominance is always a crime against the Human Rights. The only rightful use of power is nothing more than doing the job well. But all this is clearly explained since many years, at the earlier mentioned internet sites.

Avoid intervention by the public

All that persons and instances above the latent discrimination line do, is always only to avoid interventions by the legal public scrutiny. The European Convention on Human Rights supplies, to avoid violence, a national authority to execute the public scrutiny's judgments. This Convention is the property of solely its author and the Convention's purposes cannot be altered by anyone or by anyone's opinion on it.

Finally

For each member too, counts that being well informed but doing nothing adequate in a reasonable time is the result or the execution of a decision. This decision is the crime against Dutch individual civil citizens.

After my letter to each member, with sufficient information, on September 23, 2016 and October 28, 2016 and January 12 and 25, 2021 and October 15, 2021 I continue these and inform you for the sixth time that each member know for sure that the Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands.

Pending for satisfactory action(s) within a reasonable (short) time, for ungroupable huge number of solitary individual civil citizens and one can take me as their representative.

Yours sincerely,
<Sender>.

<Signature sender>

De vast commissie van de Tweede Kamer Afz.: <Sender>.
voor justitie en veiligheid. <Adres Sender>.
Plein 2 <Place Sender>.
2511 CR Den Haag.
Postbus 20018, 2500 EA.

Per e-mail, naar elk lid en ook naar de commissie.

T.a.v. elk van de commissieleden.

Geachte leden, geachte voorzitter,

15 oktober 2021.

I write to you in the most popular international language and explain why.

After my letter to each member, with sufficient information, on September 23, 2016 and October 28, 2016 and January 12, 2021 and January 25, 2021 I continue these and inform you for the fifth time that each member know for sure that the Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands.

In an attempt to communicate by correspondence with the Dutch Minister-President I got myself in a combat with the representative of the civil service organization. This civil officer sovereignly blocked my access to the Minister-President in spite of my constitutional right by article 5 of the Constitution. This evidences that the Dutch civil service organization is totally out of governmental control and became criminal, more then very likely, since many years ago. In the correspondence sequence became clear that the government is not more then the spokesmen for the civil service organization.

Nevertheless is the government accountable for this way of work and the treatment that is supplied to us, the individual civil citizens. The control by the parliament is far, far below the average acceptable efficiency.

Each of this commission's members locked me out, with my e-mail address. Almost sure I was put automatically on a black list. Each member does evidence not to be open for being a people's representative. Nevertheless, this commission is sufficiently informed since 2016 about the crash of the justice system, also sufficiently informed about the content in the webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and about the content in the public scrutiny's internet site "www.publicscrutiny.nl". Each member did nothing, until show-time, and occupied itself with selfishness of which very recent again a perfect example was exhibited.

Mrs. Kaag

Your colleague mrs. Kaag spoke at the trial of and individual civil male citizen who did threaten her. Although this person confessed that his action was by anger, mrs. Kaag only replied about her own emotions. She was not interested at all about the cause of his anger which made him aim his threats to mrs. Kaag and, for example, not to his neighbour. So, the cause of violence is not solved and not anything improved. The man on trial (and we) experienced once more that he is left. Mrs. Kaag advertised her action to decrease the matrix for aggression but in reality she increases it with adding a new experience and spread this matrix by the certainty of lack of outlook to the individual civil citizens concerned. Mrs. Kaag represents on this event each people's representative whether she likes it or not.

Mrs. Kaag's blunder

Mrs. Kaag knows that preceding his threats this man should have been judged by the guaranteed tribunal, or cleared up by a non-discriminating judgment for all equal cases. To stop escalating or as the parliament names this: "radicalisation". But Mrs. Kaag did not speak on or about this topic. In the Netherlands is provoking a crime of the provoking persons thus the justice system and Mrs. Kaag with the persons she represents.

Mrs. Kaag knows that judges and prosecutors are united in the union "Nederlandse Vereniging voor de Rechtspraak". So, the public too knows that those trials are only for the show and for oppression.

More wrong

Mrs. Kaag knows that in the Netherlands a fair trial in a public hearing by a tribunal or judge with a high moral character is impossible; each of all three do not exist. This event once more shows the maximal energy that persons and instances above the latent discrimination line put into not-knowing; Good faith is absent.

Mrs. Kaag knows that in the Netherlands the organs and institutes of the justice system are nothing more than one production business. The court "Rechtbank Amsterdam" advertises publicly that it produces 140.000 judgments a year, but does not spend a word on the huge discrimination by these. Not a word on its core-duty is a significant sign.

Mrs. Kaag, also in action as representative of parliament and this commission, shows the moral character to combat against individual civil citizens. Who are only 'important' in elections where 'each vote counts'. Mr. Rutte declared earlier and internationally in combat against Human Rights that (other thinking persons) shall be brought to their knees.

Public scrutiny

Mrs. Kaag, representing here each "people's representative", has no idea at all about the lives of people below the latent discrimination line in a war-free country. She has no idea at all in returning peace in a society and to make Human Rights' existence be seen.

So now the Netherlands show that the complete justice system has crashed by its interest in money, work and income, the people's representative ought to declare publicly anyone's respect (article 94, Constitution) to the legal public scrutiny; being the goal of the public pronouncing by a tribunal or judge of its decision. At the public scrutiny's internet site each of you can read the Manifesto and Charter of the public scrutiny and its Manual. The public declaration is nothing more than the restore of the democracy in any justice system and judge-system.

Article 6, EVRM does not intend or pretend that Human Rights exist solely after a tribunal determines it. Our possessions exist long before any lawsuit and retroactive from the date of power of the law concerned.

Pending for satisfactory action(s) within a reasonable (short) time, for ungroupable huge number of solitary individual civil citizens and one can take me as their representative.

Yours sincerely,
<Sender>.

<Signature Sender>



Tweede Kamer

DER STATEN-GENERAAL

Commissie J&V

To <addressee>
<Address addressee>
<Place addressee>

The Dutch version is authentic

Plaats en datum: The Hague, 28 januari 2021
Betreft: Response to report "Unprecedented Injustice" (35510-2)
Ons kenmerk: 2021Z00389/2021D03389
Uw brief van: 12 januari 2021

Dear <addressee>,

Your aforementioned letter has been received and discussed by the standing commission for Justice and Security.

The commission was able to use the information from your letter to prepare for the plenary debate on 19 January 2021 on the report of the Parliamentary Interrogation Commission on Childcare Allowance "Unknown Injustice" (Parliamentary Document 35510-2). The Members of Parliament have been able to read your letter in preparation. Members of Parliament make their own choice as to what they do with the information and how they use the information.

On behalf of the commission, I thank you for sending your letter.

Yours sincerely,

the acting clerk of the standing commission for Justice and Security,

P.F.L.M. Tielens-Tripels

The body of the letter below is translatable in:
The Dutch version is authentic

Place and date: The Hague, 17 November 2016
Concerns: Excessive and/or serious abuse of office
Our reference: 2016Z19876/2016D43102
Your letter of: 28 October 2016

Dear <Addressee>,

Your above-mentioned letter was discussed by the standing Commission for Security and Justice in a procedural meeting on 16 November 2016.

At this meeting, the commission decided to take the contents of your letter for information. This means that the commission as such will not take any further action. This is due to the fact that the commission does not intervene in individual cases. The Chamber is not the appropriate body to resolve individual complaints and has legal instruments to that end. In addition, in view of the separation of powers, it is not appropriate for the Chamber to comment on judicial decisions.

As stated in an earlier letter, the individual members of the Chamber can take note of your letters. If desired, they can ask the government about the content of this.

On behalf of the committee, I thank you for sending your letter.

Yours faithfully,

Registrar of the standing Commission on Security and Justice,

A.E.A.J. Hessing-Puts



Tweede Kamer

DER STATEN-GENERAAL

Commissie V&J

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Plaats en datum: Den Haag, 17 november 2016
Betreft: Buitensporig en/of ernstig ambtsmisbruik
Ons kenmerk: 2016Z19876/2016D43102
Uw brief van: 28 oktober 2016

Geachte [Redacted]

Uw bovengenoemde brief is op 16 november 2016 door de vaste commissie voor Veiligheid en Justitie in een procedurevergadering behandeld.

In deze vergadering heeft de commissie besloten de inhoud van uw brief voor kennisgeving aan te nemen. Dit betekent dat de commissie als zodanig verder geen actie zal ondernemen. Dat heeft ermee te maken dat de commissie zich niet mengt in individuele gevallen. De Kamer is niet de aangewezen instantie om afzonderlijke klachten op te lossen en heeft daartoe ook niet de nodige juridische instrumenten. Daar komt bij dat, gezien de scheiding der machten, het niet gepast is voor de Kamer om rechterlijke uitspraken van inhoudelijk commentaar te voorzien.

Zoals vermeld in een eerdere brief kunnen de afzonderlijke leden van de Kamer wel kennisnemen van uw brieven. Zij kunnen desgewenst de regering bevragen over de inhoud van deze.

Namens de commissie dank ik u voor de toezending van uw brief.

Hoogachtend,

de griffier van de vaste commissie voor Veiligheid en Justitie,

A.E.A.J. Hessing-Puts

The body of the letter below is translatable in:
The Dutch version is authentic

Place and date: The Hague, 13 October 2016
Concerns: Excessive and/or serious abuse of office
Our reference: 2016Z17257/2016D37391
Your letter of: 23 September 2016

Dear <Addressee>,

Your above-mentioned e-mail was discussed by the standing Commission on Security and Justice in a procedural meeting on October 13, 2016.

In this meeting, the commission decided to take the content of your e-mail for information. This means that the commission as such will not take any further action. This is due to the fact that the commission does not intervene in individual cases. This does not detract from the fact that the individual members can include the content of your e-mail in forming their opinion on this subject and, if desired, exchange ideas with the government during a general consultation on the judiciary.

On behalf of the commission, I thank you for sending your e-mail.

Yours faithfully,

the clerk of the standing Commission for Security and Justice,

D.S. Nava



Tweede Kamer

DER STATEN-GENERAAL

Commissie V&J

Aan de heer [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Plaats en datum: Den Haag, 13 oktober 2016
Betreft: Buitensporig en/of ernstig ambtsmisbruik
Ons kenmerk: 2016Z17257/2016D37391
Uw e-mail van: 23 september 2016

Geachte heer [REDACTED]

Uw bovengenoemde e-mail is op 13 oktober 2016 door de vaste commissie voor Veiligheid en Justitie in een procedurevergadering behandeld.

In deze vergadering heeft de commissie besloten de inhoud van uw e-mail voor kennisgeving aan te nemen. Dit betekent dat de commissie als zodanig verder geen actie zal ondernemen. Dat heeft ermee te maken dat de commissie zich niet in individuele zaken mengt. Dit neemt niet weg dat de individuele leden de inhoud van uw e-mail kunnen betrekken bij hun oordeelsvorming over dit onderwerp en daarover desgewenst met het kabinet van gedachten te wisselen bij een algemeen overleg over de rechtspraak.

Namens de commissie dank ik u voor de toezending van uw e-mail.

Hoogachtend,

de griffier van de vaste commissie voor Veiligheid en Justitie,



D.S. Nava